The Fulcrum Expected Returns Model: A Primer

Juan Antolín-Díaz[*]

Fulcrum Asset Management

February 12, 2018

Motivation

Traditional approaches to computing longer-term expected returns rely heavily on the Dividend Discount Model proposed by Gordon (1959) and Gordon and Shapiro (1956). Often times, this involves inputing "exogenous assumptions about quantities −economic growth, the path of interest rates, the evolution of risk premia− that are thought to affect returns. This approach has several drawbacks. First, variables like economic growth, interest rates and risk premia are treated as exogenous with respect to stock returns, when clearly there are feedback loops between all of them. In other words, the variables are all simultaneously determined in equilibrium, and cannot be reasonably treated as exogenous. Second, interest rates and growth rates are assumed to be constant, so the model is best thought of as a "comparative statics" exercise about the very-long run. Therefore, the model is silent about adjustment dynamics at horizons between now and the long run, which is much more relevant for investors. Relatedly, they typically embed strong assumptions about mean reversion, both of interest rates and valuation ratios. Finally, the model is silent about the uncertainty surrounding the results, which can be quite high.

To calculate expected returns on the major asset classes, Fulcrum instead relies on dynamic multivariate models estimated using Bayesian methods, see Sims (1980), Doan et al. (1983) and Sims and Zha (1998). These methods allow us to model the joint dynamics of stock returns, dividends, interest rates and other macroeconomic variables in an internally consistent way without making

strong assumptions about dynamics, mean reversion or the steady-state values of the variables. Furthermore, Bayesian prior information that disciplines the long-run behavior of the variables in the system can be introduced naturally.

Specifically, the model includes stock prices and dividends, as well as a set of macroeconomic variables, including short and long-term interest rates. Returns are then calculated from the model's forecasts using the Campbell-Shiller log-linearization of the one-period return,

$$r_{t+1} \approx \rho(p_{t+1} - d_{t+1}) - p_t - d_t + \Delta d_{t+1} \tag{1}$$

In words, higher returns come from higher future prices (relative to dividends, i.e. higher future valuations), lower initial valuations, or higher dividends. Similar equations can be used to compute returns for fixed income assets on the basis of the model's forecasts for interest rates and future long-term yields.

Results

Fulcrum maintains several versions of expected returns models. The following figures display the results of one such models, updated with data up to February 9, 2018.

Figure 1 displays the current expected holding period returns at various horizons for the major asset classes. The horizontal axis represents years and all numbers are annualized averages to ease comparisons. The model's forecast of inflation has been subtracted throughout, so all figures are real returns. The figure offers a cross-sectional view of returns across asset classes, providing us with a term structure of expected returns. A notable conclusion from the figure is that real returns to bonds are negative, and worse than holding cash instruments, for the first few years. This is the consequence of the negative term premium in long-term bonds. Second, the term structure of expected stock returns is upward sloping: returns are higher for longer holding period returns that in the immediate future.

Figure 2 instead offers the time series evolution of expected returns at the three-year horizon. This allows us to compare the expected returns of each asset class through time. The real expected three-year return on stocks has averaged 5% over the postwar period, whereas currently it stands

Current Term Structure of Expected Real Returns (%, ann.)

at around 3%. In that sense, stock prices are currently "overvalued", meaning expected returns are lower than normal. Compared with the decline in expected returns observed in the late 1990's, where expected returns turned negative, the current undervaluation is modest. It is also still better than the zero real returns expected for longer term bonds and the returns on BAA corporate bonds, just above 1%.

The final figure compares the model's ex-ante expected returns for stocks (blue) with the returns that ultimately materialized three years later (red). It serves as a reminder that the volatility of stock returns is huge, and that realized returns vary much more than expected returns. For instance, as valuations went ever higher during the late 2000s, prices kept increasing and expected returns kept going down. Ultimately, of course, the negative returns experienced by an investor who bought stocks in 1999 were much worse than the model's already negative expectation.

Conclusion

Dynamic multivariate models can be used to advance our knowledge of expected returns across asset classes. Relative to traditional approaches, they have the advantage of modeling the joint dynamics

Real Expected Returns: 3-year (%, ann.)

Expected vs. Realised Returns: Stocks, 3-year (%, ann.)

of asset prices and macro variables in an internally consistent way, and providing expected returns at different horizons and points in time. Estimated using Bayesian methods, they are an alternative way to judiciously incorporate prior information about the long-run behavior of returns, without resorting to restrictive assumptions about mean-reversion that are not supported by the data.

References

DOAN, T., R. B. LITTERMAN, AND C. A. SIMS (1983): "Forecasting and Conditional Projection Using Realistic Prior Distributions," NBER Working Papers 1202, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.

GORDON, M. J. (1959): "Dividends, earnings, and stock prices," *The review of economics and statistics*, 99–105.

GORDON, M. J. AND E. SHAPIRO (1956): "Capital equipment analysis: the required rate of profit," *Management science*, 3, 102–110.

SIMS, C. A. (1980): "Macroeconomics and Reality," *Econometrica*, 48, 1–48.

SIMS, C. A. AND T. ZHA (1998): "Bayesian methods for dynamic multivariate models," *International Economic Review*, 949–968.

Disclaimer

This material is for your information only and is not intended to be used by anyone other than you. It is directed at professional clients and eligible counterparties only and is not intended for retail clients. The information contained herein should not be regarded as an offer to sell or as a solicitation of an offer to buy any financial products, including an interest in a fund, or an official confirmation of any transaction. Any such offer or solicitation will be made to qualified investors only by means of an offering memorandum and related subscription agreement. The material is intended only to facilitate your discussions with Fulcrum Asset Management as to the opportunities available to our clients. The given material is subject to change and, although based upon information which we consider reliable, it is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness and it should not be relied upon as such. The material is not intended to be used as a general guide to investing, or as a source of any specific investment recommendations, and makes no implied or express recommendations concerning the manner in which any clients account should or would be handled, as appropriate investment strategies depend upon clients investment objectives. Funds managed by Fulcrum Asset Management LLP are in general managed using quantitative models though, where this is the case, Fulcrum Asset Management LLP can and do make discretionary decisions on a frequent basis and reserves the right to do so at any point. Past performance is not a guide to future performance. Future returns are not guaranteed and a loss of principal may occur. Fulcrum Asset Management LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority of the United Kingdom (No: 230683) and incorporated as a Limited Liability Partnership in England and Wales (No: OC306401) with its registered office at Marble Arch House, 66 Seymour Street, London, W1H 5BT. Fulcrum Asset Management LP is a wholly owned subsidiary of Fulcrum Asset Management LLP incorporated in the State of Delaware, operating from 350 Park Avenue, 13th Floor New York, NY 10022.